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## Simple model

Generative model

- Start with a genome $A$
- Mutate every nucleotide with probability $r_{1}$
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- Get a new genome $B$
- Assume that all $k$-mers are unique.
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- Jaccard
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- Minhash Jaccard
- $A_{s k} \triangleq$ minhash sketch of $A$
- $B_{s k} \triangleq$ minhash sketch of $B$
- $\hat{\jmath}=J\left(A_{s k}, B_{s k}\right)$


## Motivating applications

Mash distance [Ondov et al., 2016]

- Take two evolutionary related sequences
- Observe $\hat{\jmath}$ from two genomes
- Assume that genomes evolved under the simple model
- Estimate $r_{1}$ from $\hat{\jmath}$.
- What about a confidence interval for $r_{1}$ ?
- Given that the two sequences evolved under this simple model, and we observe $N_{\text {mut }}$, what is an interval that will contain $r_{1}$ with $95 \%$ probability?
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Mash distance [Ondov et al., 2016]

- Take two evolutionary related sequences
- Observe $\hat{\jmath}$ from two genomes
- Assume that genomes evolved under the simple model
- Estimate $r_{1}$ from $\hat{J}$.
- What about a confidence interval for $r_{1}$ ?
- Given that the two sequences evolved under this simple model, and we observe $N_{\text {mut }}$, what is an interval that will contain $r_{1}$ with $95 \%$ probability?
Alignments of reads to de Bruijn graph (minimap2, jabba, lorma)
- A read is generated from a genome location
- sequencing error rate $r_{1}$.
- Is a putative genome location the one that generated the read?
- We observe $N_{m u t}$
- Want to accept/reject this alignment, with $95 \%$ chance of being correct.
- A hypothesis test with significance level $95 \%$ for $N_{m u t}$
- Given $r_{1}$ what is the range into which $N_{m u t}$ would fall with $95 \%$ probability?



## Distribution of $N_{m u t}$

Expectation
Expectation is easy.

- Let $X_{i}$ be the indicator r.v. if $k$-mer starting at position $i$ is mutated.
- Let $\mathrm{E}\left[X_{i}\right] \triangleq r_{k}=\left(1-\left(1-r_{1}\right)^{k}\right)$ be the probability that a $k$-mer is mutated.
- $N_{m u t}=\sum X_{i}$
- $\mathrm{E}\left[N_{m u t}\right]=\mathrm{E}\left[\sum X_{i}\right]=L \mathrm{E}\left[X_{i}\right]=L r_{k}$.
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Is $N_{m u t}$ a binomial?
- Binomial is sum of independent Bernoulli trials
- But nearby $X_{i}$ s are dependent.


## Dependency lemma and variance

## Lemma

- If $j-i \geq k$, then $X_{i}$ and $X_{j}$ are independent
- If $j-i<k, \operatorname{Pr}\left[X_{i}=1, X_{j}=1\right]=2 r_{k}-1+\left(1-r_{1}\right)^{k+j-i}$
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\begin{equation*}
\left(1-r_{1}\right)^{j-i}\left(1-\left(1-r_{1}\right)^{k-j+i}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$



N/A
0

## Dependency lemma and variance

## Lemma

- If $j-i \geq k$, then $X_{i}$ and $X_{j}$ are independent
- If $j-i<k, \operatorname{Pr}\left[X_{i}=1, X_{j}=1\right]=2 r_{k}-1+\left(1-r_{1}\right)^{k+j-i}$

Proof


$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1-r_{1}\right)^{j-i}\left(1-\left(1-r_{1}\right)^{k-j+i}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

N/A
0

$\qquad$

Lemma
$-\operatorname{Var}\left[N_{m u t}\right]=L\left(1-r_{k}\right)\left(r_{k}\left(2 k+\frac{2}{r_{1}}-1\right)-2 k\right)+o(L)$

## M-dependent variables and Main Technique Theorem

A sequence of $L$ random variables $X_{0}, \ldots, X_{L-1}$ is said to be $\mathbf{m}$-dependent if there exists a bounded $m$ such that if $j-i>m$, then the two sets $\left\{X_{0}, \ldots, X_{i}\right\}$ and $\left\{X_{j}, \ldots, X_{L-1}\right\}$ are independent [Hoeffding et al., 1948].


- $N_{m u t}$ is sum of $\mathbf{m}$-dependent variables, with $m=k-1$.
- Sum of m-dependent variables is asymptotically normal [Hoeffding et al., 1948].
- Stein's method also gives us the rate of convergence [Ross, 2011].
- We can derive hypothesis test using same strategy as with Binomial
- Main Technique Theorem
- Let $X$ be a sum of $m$-dependent Bernoulli random variables.
- Then, $X \in \mathrm{E}[X] \pm z_{\alpha} \sqrt{\operatorname{Var}(X)}$ with limiting* probability $\alpha$,
- $z_{\alpha}$ is value of inverse Normal CDF at $(1-\alpha) / 2$
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## $N_{m u t}$ and Jaccard

Hypothesis tests and confidence intervals
Corollary of Main Technique Theorem
$-N_{m u t} \in L r_{k} \pm z_{\alpha} \sqrt{\operatorname{Var}\left(N_{m u t}\right)}$ with limiting* probability $\alpha$, *assuming $r_{1}$ and $k$ are independent of $L$

To compute Cl for $r_{1}$,

- Numerically find the range of $r_{1}$ for which $N_{m u t}$ is in the test range.

Suppose we observe $T=f\left(N_{m u t}\right)$
$-f(x)$ is a monotone function

- e.g. Jaccard $=\frac{L-N_{\text {mut }}}{L+N_{m u t}}$

Corollaries

- With limiting* probability $\alpha$,
- $f\left(N_{m u t}\right) \in f\left(L r_{k} \pm z_{\alpha} \sqrt{\operatorname{Var}\left(N_{m u t}\right)}\right)$
$>J \in\left(\frac{L-L r_{k}-z_{\alpha} \sqrt{\operatorname{Var}\left(N_{m u t}\right)}}{L+L r_{k}+z_{\alpha} \sqrt{\operatorname{Var}\left(N_{m u t}\right)}}, \frac{L-L r_{k}+z_{\alpha} \sqrt{\operatorname{Var}\left(N_{m u t}\right)}}{L+L r_{k}-z_{\alpha} \sqrt{\operatorname{Var}\left(N_{m u t}\right)}}\right)$


## Minhash Jaccard estimator

a.k.a. Mash distance

Two layers of randomness

- Mutation process
- We can apply our Main Technique
- Sketching process
- Our Main Technique does not apply
- ... because sketch uses global information
- We use a different approach

Theorem

- With limiting* probability $\alpha, j_{\text {low }} \leq \hat{\jmath} \leq j_{h i g h}$


## Islands and oceans

Island definition

- An island is a maximal interval of mutated $k$-mers.
- Sequence can be partitioned into alternated islands and oceans.
$K$-mers starting at pos $i$
- Number of islands is $\sum_{i} B_{i}$.
- $B_{i}=1$ iff the $k$-mer at pos $i$ is mutated and at at $i+1$ is not.
- $B_{L-1}=1$ is special end case.
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## Islands and oceans

Island definition

- An island is a maximal interval of mutated $k$-mers.
- Sequence can be partitioned into alternated islands and oceans.
$K$-mers starting at pos $i$

- Number of islands is $\sum_{i} B_{i}$.
- $B_{i}=1$ iff the $k$-mer at pos $i$ is mutated and at at $i+1$ is not.
- $B_{L-1}=1$ is special end case.

Steps to derive hypothesis test for number of islands

- Derive $\operatorname{Pr}\left[B_{i}=1, B_{j}=1\right]$.
- Confirm that $B_{i}$ and $B_{j}$ are independent if they are far apart.
- Derive $\mathrm{E}\left(N_{\text {island }}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Var}\left(N_{\text {island }}\right)$
- Apply Main Technique Theorem
- $N_{\text {island }} \in \mathrm{E}\left(N_{\text {island }}\right) \pm z_{\alpha} \sqrt{\operatorname{Var}\left(N_{\text {island }}\right)}$ with limiting* probability $\alpha$.


## Summary of theoretical results

the expectation, variances, and intervals derived in the paper

| Variable | Expectation | Variance | $\alpha$ interval |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $N_{\text {mut }}$ | $L q$ | $L(\mathbf{1}-q)\left(q\left(2 k+\frac{\mathbf{2}}{r_{\mathbf{1}}}-\mathbf{1}\right)-2 k\right)$ | $L q \pm z_{\alpha} \sqrt{\operatorname{Var}\left(N_{\text {mut }}\right)}$ |
| $N_{\text {island }}$ | $L r_{\mathbf{1}}(\mathbf{1}-q)$ | $L r_{\mathbf{1}}(\mathbf{1}-q)\left(\mathbf{1}-r_{\mathbf{1}}(\mathbf{1}-q)(2 k+\mathbf{1})\right)$ | $\mathrm{E}\left[N_{\text {island }}\right] \pm z_{\alpha} \sqrt{\operatorname{Var}\left(N_{\text {island }}\right)}$ |
| $N_{\text {ocean }}$ | $L r_{\mathbf{1}}(\mathbf{1}-q)$ | $L r_{\mathbf{1}}(\mathbf{1}-q)\left(\mathbf{1}-r_{\mathbf{1}}(\mathbf{1}-q)(2 k+\mathbf{1})\right)$ | $\mathrm{E}\left[N_{\text {ocean }}\right] \pm z_{\alpha} \sqrt{\operatorname{Var}\left(N_{\text {ocean }}\right)}$ |
| Jaccard | - | - | $($ see prev slide) |
| minhash Jaccard | - | (jlow, $\left.j_{h i g h}\right)$ |  |
| $C_{\text {ber }}^{* *}$ | $\frac{L(\mathbf{1}-q)\left(\mathbf{1}+r_{\mathbf{1}}(k-\mathbf{1})\right)}{L+k-\mathbf{1}}$ | see paper | $\mathrm{E}\left[C_{\text {ber }}\right] \pm z_{\alpha} \sqrt{\operatorname{Var}\left(C_{b e r}\right)}$ |

** Coverage by exact regions [Miclotte et al., 2016]
*Only higher order terms are shown here, see paper for exact expressions.

## Experimental results

$N_{\text {mut }}$ confidence intervals
Simulation experiments

- Starting sequence with no dup $k$-mers
- 10,000 replicates for each cell.
- Report fraction of replicates for which the true $r_{1}$ falls into the predicted $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$.

| $L=10,000$ | $r_{1}$ |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2}$ |
| $k=\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | 0.95 | 0.95 | - | - |
| $\mathbf{5 1}$ | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.96 | - |
| $\mathbf{2 1}$ | 0.95 | 0.94 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
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## $N_{\text {mut }}$ confidence intervals

Simulation experiments

- Starting sequence with no dup $k$-mers
- 10,000 replicates for each cell.
- Report fraction of replicates for which the true $r_{1}$ falls into the predicted $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$.


## Experiments with E.Coli

- Simulation done on E.Coli sequence
- CI calculator only observes
- set of $k$-mers before $(A)$
- set of $k$-mers before ( $B$ )
- Cl calculator defines
- $L=(|A|+|B|) / 2$.
- $N_{\text {mut }}=L-|A \cap B|$

| $L=\mathbf{1 0}, \mathbf{0 0 0}$ | $r_{\mathbf{1}}$ |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2}$ |
| $k=\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | 0.95 | 0.95 | - | - |
| $\mathbf{5 1}$ | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.96 | - |
| $\mathbf{2 1}$ | 0.95 | 0.94 | 0.95 | 0.95 |


| $L=\mathbf{1}, 000$ | $r_{\mathbf{1}}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2}$ |
| $k=\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | 0.95 | 0.96 | - | - |
| $\mathbf{5 1}$ | 0.94 | 0.95 | 0.94 | - |
| $\mathbf{2 1}$ | 0.93 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |


| $L=100$ | $r_{\mathbf{1}}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2}$ |
| $k=\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | 0.91 | $\mathbf{1 . 0 0}$ | - | - |
| $\mathbf{5 1}$ | 0.91 | $\mathbf{1 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 0 0}$ | - |
| $\mathbf{2 1}$ | 0.91 | 0.96 | 1.00 | $\mathbf{1 . 0 0}$ |


| E.Coli |  | $r_{\mathbf{1}}$ |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| $r$ | $r_{\mathbf{1}}=$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1}$ |  |
| $k=\mathbf{0 . 2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{5 1}$ | 0.95 | 0.95 | - | - |  |
| $\mathbf{2 1}$ | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | - |  |
|  |  |  | 0.95 | 0.94 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Experimental results

Mash distance (i.e. minhash Jaccard estimator)

- Table 1 in [Ondov et al., 2016] tested the point estimate on a range of values.
- $k=21$
- $L=4,500,000$
- Varying sketch size and $r_{1}$
- We replicate their experiments, but instead predict $95 \%$ Cls
- 1,000 replicates for each cell

|  | $r_{\mathbf{1}}\left(r_{k}\right)$ |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | . $\mathbf{0 5 ( . 6 5 9 )}$ | . $\mathbf{1 5 ( . 9 6 7 )}$ | . $\mathbf{2 5 ( . 9 9 8 )}$ |
| sketch size $=\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | 0.97 | $\mathbf{1 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 0 0}$ |
| $\mathbf{1 , 0 0 0}$ | 0.96 | 0.97 | $\mathbf{1 . 0 0}$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0 , 0 0 0}$ | 0.95 | 0.96 | 0.96 |
| $\mathbf{1 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.96 |
| $\mathbf{1 , 0 0 0}, \mathbf{0 0 0}$ | 0.94 | 0.95 | 0.96 |

## Experimental results

Mash distance (i.e. minhash Jaccard estimator)

- Table 1 in [Ondov et al., 2016] tested the point estimate on a range of values.
- $k=21$
- $L=4,500,000$
- Varying sketch size and $r_{1}$
- We replicate their experiments, but instead predict $95 \%$ Cls
- 1,000 replicates for each cell

|  | $r_{\mathbf{1}}\left(r_{k}\right)$ |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | . $\mathbf{0 5}(.659)$ | . $\mathbf{1 5}(.967)$ | . $\mathbf{2 5}(.998)$ |
| sketch size $\mathbf{= 1 0 0}$ | 0.97 | $\mathbf{1 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 0 0}$ |
| $\mathbf{1 , 0 0 0}$ | 0.96 | 0.97 | $\mathbf{1 . 0 0}$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0 , 0 0 0}$ | 0.95 | 0.96 | 0.96 |
| $\mathbf{1 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.96 |
| $\mathbf{1 , 0 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ | 0.94 | 0.95 | 0.96 |

- We also simulated with E.coli.

|  | $r_{\mathbf{1}}\left(r_{k}\right)$ |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | . $\mathbf{0 5}(.659)$ | . $\mathbf{1 5}(.967)$ | . $\mathbf{2 5}(.998)$ |
| $\boldsymbol{s k e t c h}$ size $=\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | 0.97 | $\mathbf{1 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 0 0}$ |
| $\mathbf{1 , 0 0 0}$ | 0.97 | 0.96 | $\mathbf{1 . 0 0}$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0 , 0 0 0}$ | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.97 |
| $\mathbf{1 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ | 0.94 | 0.95 | 0.96 |

## Experimental results

Minimap2 [Li, 2018] and Jabba [Miclotte et al., 2016] read filtering

## Minimap2

- Filters out alignment if $r_{1}$ estimate is far from error rate
- Estimates $r_{1}$ from the number of seeds that match a location
- $\hat{\epsilon}=\frac{1}{k} \log \frac{n}{m}$
- Using our model improves $r_{1}$ estimate.
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Minimap2 [Li, 2018] and Jabba [Miclotte et al., 2016] read filtering

## Minimap2

- Filters out alignment if $r_{1}$ estimate is far from error rate
- Estimates $r_{1}$ from the number of seeds that match a location
- $\hat{\epsilon}=\frac{1}{k} \log \frac{n}{m}$
- Using our model improves $r_{1}$ estimate.

Jabba

- Filters out alignment if coverage by exact regions ( $C_{b e r}$ ) "significantly deviates" from expectation.
- What is "significantly"?
- We can use a hypothesis test for $C_{b e r}$



## Conclusion

- Simple mutation model has been widely used but never studied in depth
- We show a technique for deriving hypothesis tests and confidence intervals
- Exploit the fact that $k$-mer dependecies are local
- We derive these for a few natural random variables.
- Can we predict when the approximations stop working?
- E.g. in Binomial, this is when $n p(1-p)$ is low

| Variable | Expectation | Variance | $\alpha$ interval |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $N_{\text {mut }}$ | $L q$ | $L(\mathbf{1}-q)\left(q\left(2 k+\frac{\mathbf{2}}{r_{\mathbf{1}}}-\mathbf{1}\right)-2 k\right)$ | $L q \pm z_{\alpha} \sqrt{\operatorname{Var}\left(N_{\text {mut }}\right)}$ |
| $N_{\text {island }}$ | $L r_{\mathbf{1}}(\mathbf{1}-q)$ | $L r_{\mathbf{1}}(\mathbf{1}-q)\left(\mathbf{1}-r_{\mathbf{1}}(\mathbf{1}-q)(2 k+\mathbf{1})\right)$ | $\mathrm{E}\left[N_{\text {island }}\right] \pm z_{\alpha} \sqrt{\operatorname{Var}\left(N_{\text {island }}\right)}$ |
| $N_{\text {ocean }}$ | $L r_{\mathbf{1}}(\mathbf{1}-q)$ | $L r_{\mathbf{1}}(\mathbf{1}-q)\left(\mathbf{1}-r_{\mathbf{1}}(\mathbf{1}-q)(2 k+\mathbf{1})\right)$ | $\mathrm{E}\left[N_{\text {ocean }}\right] \pm z_{\alpha} \sqrt{\operatorname{Var}\left(N_{\text {ocean }}\right)}$ |
| Jaccard | - | - | (see prev slide) |
| minhash Jaccard | - | $\left(j_{\text {low }}, j_{h i g h}\right)$ |  |
| $C_{\text {ber }}^{* *}$ | $\frac{L(\mathbf{1}-q)\left(\mathbf{1}+r_{\mathbf{1}}(k-\mathbf{1})\right)}{L+k-\mathbf{1}}$ | see paper | $\mathrm{E}\left[C_{b e r}\right] \pm z_{\alpha} \sqrt{\operatorname{Var}\left(C_{b e r}\right)}$ |
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